

DANIEL G. BOGDEN
United States Attorney
Nevada Bar No. 2137
DANIEL D. HOLLINGSWORTH
Assistant United States Attorney
Nevada State Bar No. 1925
Lloyd D. George United States Courthouse
333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: (702) 388-6336
Facsimile: (702) 388-6787
E-mail: Daniel.Hollingsworth@usdoj.gov
Counsel for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	2:10-CR-356-LDG-(VCF)
)	
JEANNIE SUTHERLAND,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S MOTION FOR AN
INTERLOCUTORY ORDER OF SALE OF PROPERTY, AND ORDER**

The United States of America ("United States"), by and through Daniel G. Bogden, United States Attorney for the District of Nevada, and Daniel D. Hollingsworth, Assistant United States Attorney, respectfully moves this Court for an Order for an Interlocutory Sale of the real property located at 9405 Shipboard Court, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 ("property"). The property is more particularly described as follows:

Parcel I:

Lot One Hundred Twenty-Eight (128), in Block Four (4) of Mission Monterey Unit No. 2, as shown by map thereof on file in Book 40 of Plats, Page 9, in the Office of the County Recorder of Clark County, Nevada.

1 Parcel II:

2 A non-exclusive easement on and over the "Common Area" as defined in the Declaration of
3 Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions to which reference is hereafter made for access, use, enjoyment,
4 occupancy, ingress and egress of the amenities located hereon subject to the terms and provisions of said
Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions recorded January 20, 1987 in Book 870120 of
Official Records, as Document No. 00088.

5 Together with any and all improvements and appurtenances thereon, Assessor's Parcel No. 163-
6 07-813-014.

7 Through the Interlocutory Order of Sale, the United States requests this Court to authorize the
8 United States Marshals Service to sell the real property through one of its approved methods.

9 The ground for issuing the Order for an Interlocutory Sale is this Court is authorized to approve
10 interlocutory sales. This Motion is made and is based on the papers and pleadings on file herein and the
11 attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

12 DATED this 8th day of April, 2014.

13 Respectfully submitted,

14 DANIEL G. BOGDEN
15 United States Attorney

16 /s/DanielD.Hollingsworth
17 DANIEL D. HOLLINGSWORTH
18 Assistant United States Attorney
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**I. STATEMENT OF THE CASE****A. Procedural History**

On December 8, 2010, the Grand Jury returned a Three-Count Criminal Superseding Indictment, charging Jeannie Sutherland (“Sutherland”) in Count One with Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, Mail Fraud, and Bank Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343, 1344, and 1349; in Count Two and Three with Bank Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344; and alleged forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c); 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(2)(A); and 21 U.S.C. § 853 (p). Criminal Superseding Indictment, ECF No. 60.

On February 2, 2012, Sutherland was found guilty by a jury as to Counts One and Three of the Criminal Superseding Indictment (ECF No. 60). Jury Trial Minutes, ECF No. 286; Jury Verdict Form, ECF No. 287.

On February 9, 2012, an Order of Forfeiture (ECF No. 294) was entered against Sutherland for \$965,000 in United States Currency. On February 15, 2012, this Court rescinded and vacated the Order of Forfeiture (ECF No. 294). Order Rescinding and Vacating Order of Forfeiture, ECF No. 298.

On May 16, 2012, an Amended Order of Forfeiture (ECF No. 330) was entered against Sutherland for \$2,350,350 in United States Currency. Thereafter, the United States of America (“United States”) recognized a mathematical error and this Court entered a Second Amended Order of Forfeiture (ECF No. 345) correcting the criminal forfeiture money judgment from \$2,350,350 in United States Currency to \$2,341,350 in United States Currency.

On September 4, 2012, an Order of Forfeiture (ECF No. 378) for sentencing was entered against Sutherland for \$2,341,350 in United States Currency.

On September 6, 2012, this Court entered the Judgment in a Criminal Case (ECF No. 381) with the attached Order of Forfeiture (ECF No. 378) for Sutherland.

On March 28, 2014, the United States filed a Sealed Ex Parte Motion to Substitute and to Forfeit Property of Jeannie Sutherland (ECF No. 430), specifically real property located at 9405 Shipboard Court,

1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 based on the Order of Forfeiture (ECF No. 378) entered at sentencing on
2 September 4, 2012.

3 On April 1, 2014, this Court entered the Substitution and Forfeiture Order (ECF No. 434).

4 On April 4, 2014, the United States Marshals Service ("USMS") took the property into custody.

5 **B. Statement of Facts**

6 Sutherland owes a criminal forfeiture money judgment of \$2,341,350 in United States Currency.
7 On March 28, 2014, the United States filed a Sealed Ex Parte Motion to Substitute and to Forfeit
8 Property of Jeannie Sutherland (ECF No. 430), specifically real property located at 9405 Shipboard
9 Court, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117. On April 1, 2014, this Court entered the Substitution and Forfeiture
10 Order (ECF No. 434). On April 4, 2014, the USMS took the substitute property into custody. The
11 United States now requests this Court to authorize the USMS to sell the real property through one of its
12 approved methods. The purpose of the interlocutory sale is to liquidate the property to allow for easier
13 management of the assets to be used towards Sutherland's criminal forfeiture money judgment, to reduce
14 the cost of maintaining the property, and to avoid damage to the property since it is vacant.

15 **II. ARGUMENT**

16 This Court has authority to issue the Interlocutory Order of Sale. Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(7) and
17 Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions ("Supplemental
18 Rules") G(7) authorize Interlocutory Sales. "At any time before entry of a final forfeiture order, the
19 court, in accordance with Supplemental Rule G(7) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, may order the
20 interlocutory sale of property alleged to be forfeitable." Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(7). The United States
21 may move this Court to order the above-mentioned "property sold if:"

22 (A) the property is perishable or at risk of deterioration, decay, or injury by being detained in custody
23 pending the action; (B) the expense of keeping the property is excessive or is disproportionate to its
24 fair market value; (C) the property is subject to a mortgage or to taxes on which the owner is in
default; or (D) the court finds other good cause.

25 Supplemental Rules G(7)(b)(i)(A)-(D). These four reasons for the interlocutory sale apply in this case
26 regarding 9405 Shipboard Court, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117. The United States may sell the real property

1 if this Court so orders. Supplemental Rules G(7)(b)(ii) (“A sale must be made by a United States agency
 2 that has authority to sell the property, by the agency’s contractor, or by any person the court
 3 designates.”). The USMS will sell the real property through one of its approved methods. Supplemental
 4 Rules G(7)(b)(iii) (“The sale is governed by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2001, 2002, and 2004, unless all parties, with
 5 the court’s approval, agree to the sale, aspects of the sale, or different procedures.”).

6 “Following entry of [a preliminary order of forfeiture], the court may, upon application of the
 7 United States, ... take any other action to protect the interest of the United States in the property ordered
 8 forfeited ...”, including an interlocutory order of sale. 21 U.S.C. § 853(g). The sale proceeds of the real
 9 property will be the “substitute res subject to forfeiture in place of the property that was sold.”

10 Supplemental Rules G(7)(b)(iv). “The proceeds must be held in an interest-bearing account maintained
 11 by the United States pending the conclusion of the forfeiture action.” *Id.*

12 **III. CONCLUSION**

13 The United States respectfully requests that this Court order the interlocutory sale of the real
 14 property under the following four reasons (1) the property is perishable and is at risk of deterioration,
 15 decay, and injury; (2) the expense of keeping the property is disproportionate to its fair market value; (3)
 16 the property is subject to a mortgage and to taxes on which the owner is in default; and (4) the court finds
 17 other good cause. Selling the real property through this interlocutory sale will protect the real property,
 18 preserve its value, and liquidate the property to allow for easier management of the assets to be used
 19 towards Sutherland’s criminal forfeiture money judgment. By authorizing the interlocutory sale as

20 ...

21 ...

22 ...

23 ...

24 ...

25 ...

26 ...

1 discussed above, this Court will protect the real property, preserve the value of the real property, and
2 expedite the sale of the real property through the USMS.

3 Dated this 8th day of April, 2014.

4 Respectfully submitted,

5 DANIEL G. BOGDEN
6 United States Attorney

7 /s/Daniel D. Hollingsworth
8 DANIEL D. HOLLINGSWORTH
9 Assistant United States Attorney

10
11 IT IS SO ORDERED:

12
13
14 
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16 DATED: 43 May 2014
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26